1	PRE/PREXAD/GCDO12AC/12AC to TNCW
2	
3	132-12G STATEMENT ON CHURCH POLITY, PROCEDURES,
4	AND RESOLUTION OF DISAGREEMENTS IN THE
5	LIGHT OF RECENT UNION ACTIONS ON
6	MINISTERIAL ORDINATION
7	
8	VOTED, 1. To adopt the following Statement on Church Polity, Procedures, and
9	Resolution of Disagreements in the Light of Recent Union Actions on Ministerial
10	Ordination and
11	
12	2. To request that division administrations engage with field unit
13	administrators in reviewing this statement and its implications for Church structure,
14	identity, operations, and mission:
15	
16	STATEMENT ON CHURCH POLITY, PROCEDURES,
17	AND THE RESOLUTION OF DISAGREEMENTS IN THE LIGHT OF RECENT
18	UNION ACTIONS ON MINISTERIAL ORDINATION
19	
20	Foundational principles for Seventh-day Adventist Church structure and
21	operations are rooted in the Bible and draw heavily from the teachings of Jesus, the
22	apostles and the experience of the early Church. In the New Testament the people of God
23	are urged to demonstrate unity (John 15 and 17, Ephesians 4); to engage in worldwide
24	mission (Matthew 28:18-20, Acts 1:7-8, Acts 10-11); to acknowledge
25	differences/disagreements and to have a process for their resolution (Acts 6, 15,
26	Galatians 3:26-29, Philippians 2); and to live as a transformed and transforming
27	community in a fractured and sin-burdened world (Ephesians 2-4).
28	
29	The Seventh-day Adventist Church seeks to preserve its identity as a united global
30	family while addressing mission opportunities and challenges in widely differing cultural,
31	political and economic environments. The desire to hold two objectives, global unity <sup>1</sup> ,
32	and global mission, in creative and dynamic balance has led to an organizational structure
33	that shares and delegates responsibility for mission within a framework of participation in
34	and respect for collective decision-making processes. Within this organizational
35	structure, decisions of a General Conference Session represent the highest authority <sup>2</sup> —
36	the voice of the whole Church in respect to beliefs, procedures and relationships.
37	
38	It is natural to expect that in response to diverse and ever-changing circumstances
39	differences will arise in determining the most appropriate ways of accomplishing mission
40	while also preserving Church structure and relationships. The articulation of different
41	viewpoints and the expression of disagreement are important ways by which the Church
42	gains new insights and more fully understands the global impact of decisions. Speaking
43	and listening, when done respectfully, are essential to the operational health of the whole
44	body and its continuing effectiveness in mission. The process adopted by the Church for
45	the resolution of disagreements involves forums where all those affected by a decision
46	are represented in the exploration and adoption of decisions.

1 2

2 The call, by both individuals and organizations, for change in ministerial 3 ordination practices illustrates one expression of disagreement. This subject has been on 4 the global agenda of the Church at General Conference Sessions for several decades. Thus far the General Conference Session (by actions in 1990<sup>3</sup> and 1995<sup>4</sup>) has chosen the 5 6 pathway of uniform practice worldwide-ministerial ordination for males only. A 7 recurring question is whether or not the authority to grant ministerial ordination without regard to gender could be granted to divisions without making the provision mandatory 8 9 everywhere. Several unions in various parts of the world have voiced support for this 10 kind of change in ministerial ordination practices. Three union constituency sessions have authorized their executive committees to approve ministerial ordination without regard to 11 gender. Of these, two have recently chosen to proceed according to the constituency 12 13 decision

14

15 Decisions to pursue a course of action not in harmony with the 1990 and 1995 General Conference Session decisions (with respect to ministerial ordination) represent 16 17 not only an expression of dissent but also a demonstration of self-determination in a matter previously decided by the collective Church. The General Conference Executive 18 19 Committee regards these actions as serious mistakes. They directly challenge two world Church decisions on the matter of ordination. They create doubts about the importance of 20 21 collective decision-making as a basic feature of denominational life. They weaken the fabric of Church life and operations by giving opportunity for other entities to follow this 22 23 example in order to justify independence and autonomy in other matters rather than 24 maintaining a mutual commitment to collective decision-making.

25

The world Church cannot legitimize practices that clearly contradict the intent of General Conference Session actions. This applies to ordination decisions as well as to other matters in which a local organization may feel constrained not just to voice its disagreement with the world Church but to proceed along a pathway that directly conflicts with the expressed will of the worldwide Church. Accordingly, the world Church does not recognize actions authorizing or implementing ministerial ordination without regard to gender.

33

This statement deals with Church structure and procedures. It does not address the question of ministerial ordination practices per se. The central issue is one of Church polity—how the Church defines its organization, governance and operations. Historically, the Seventh-day Adventist Church has developed on the principle of interdependence rather than independence. A course of action contrary to the will of the whole places the organization at risk.

40

1 Discussion and debate about ministerial ordination practice is a separate matter 2 and is under global study and review. General Conference Session decisions (1990 and 3 1995) did not authorize ministerial ordination without regard to gender, either globally or 4 regionally. Any change in this practice requires action by a General Conference Session. Every Church organization in the world has been given the opportunity of participating in 5 6 the current global study. This can be accomplished through interaction with the 7 respective division-appointed Biblical Research Committee. Division Biblical Research Committees will interface with the General Conference-appointed Theology of 8 Ordination Study Committee. The study is to be completed by 2014 with a report from 9 10 the Theology of Ordination Study Committee presented to the General Conference Executive Committee at its 2014 Annual Council, which will decide what to refer to the 11 General Conference Session in 2015. 12 13 14 The role of women in ministry and leadership has been a long-standing question. It is one that attracts strong yet differing convictions and can readily divide families, 15 congregations and constituencies. The process toward finding acceptable solutions must 16 not obscure the contribution that women have made and continue to make in many areas 17 18 of Church life and leadership. 19 20 The General Conference Executive Committee specifically affirms the important 21 roles that women fill in the life of the Church. Their giftedness and commitment is a 22 blessing to the whole Church and a necessary part of its work in mission. 23 24 Moments of tension in denominational life can be opportunities for both learning and enhancing relationships. The presence of conflict and the expression of difference 25 can help make the Church stronger. In such moments the commitment of all to informed 26 and collective decision-making processes is the best way to resolve matters while keeping 27 28 the Church together as a world family. 29 30 The General Conference Executive Committee appeals to all organizations—local churches, local conferences/missions, unions, institutions and divisions-to consider 31 32 thoughtfully the impact and implications of decisions beyond the boundaries of each entity's territory of operations. General Conference Working Policy, the Church Manual, 33 34 and General Conference Session decisions are designed to assist the Church in 35 demonstrating the unity for which Jesus prayed and at the same time to provide a structure that advances the gospel commission in every part of the world. 36 37 38 This appeal is also addressed to individual Church members everywhere. Drawing upon Paul's analogy of the Church as a body (1 Corinthians 12) it is a call for all parts of 39 the body to perform their individual service, to express their unique giftedness with the 40

realization that each is part of something much larger—a worldwide family that seeks to
 do all things in the name of Jesus (Colossians 3:17).

<sup>1</sup> In the New Testament Church, unity does not always require uniformity (See Acts 15). Instead, unity is based upon mutual commitment to Jesus Christ, to His Word, to His mission, and to the community of believers. One of the ways by which unity is demonstrated is seen in the process of making decisions that affect the whole community—a process of deciding together. The resulting decisions may recognize the legitimacy of practices that do not always reflect uniformity.

<sup>2</sup> A General Conference Session is affirmed as the highest authority in the Church in the following ways:

a. From the writings of Ellen G White: "I have often been instructed by the Lord that no
man's judgment should be surrendered to the judgment of any other man. Never should the mind of one
man or the minds of a few men be regarded as sufficient in wisdom and power to control the work and to
say what plans shall be followed. But when, in a General Conference, the judgment of the brethren
assembled from all parts of the field is exercised, private independence and private judgment must not be
stubbornly maintained, but surrendered. Never should a laborer regard as a virtue the persistent
maintenance of his position of independence, contrary to the decision of the general body.

21 At times, when a small group of men entrusted with the general management of the work have, in 22 the name of the General Conference, sought to carry out unwise plans and to restrict God's work. I have 23 24 said that I can no longer regard the voice of the General Conference, represented by these few men, as the voice of God. But this is not saying that the decisions of a General Conference composed of an assembly of 25 duly appointed, representative men from all parts of the field should not be respected. God has ordained 26 27 that the representatives of His church from all parts of the earth, when assembled in a General Conference, shall have authority. The error that some are in danger of committing is in giving to the mind and judgment 28 of one man, or a small group of men, the full measure of authority and influence that God has vested in His 29 church in the judgment and voice of the General Conference assembled to plan for the prosperity and 30 advancement of His work."-9T 260

b. From the Seventh-day Adventist Church Manual (18<sup>th</sup> Edition): "In the Church today
 the General Conference Session, and the General Conference Executive Committee between Sessions, is
 the highest ecclesiastical authority in the administration of the Church."—p. 31

c. From General Conference Working Policy B 10 22: "All organizations and institutions
 throughout the world will recognize the authority of the General Conference Session as the highest
 authority of the Seventh-day Adventist Church under God."

37

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

38 <sup>3</sup> Fifty-fifth General Conference Session, July 11, 1990—Excerpts from Session Bulletin #7, p. 15, 39 dealing with the action and report of the Role of Women Commission: "Voted, To accept the following 40 report and recommendations of the Role of Women Commission as recommended by the 1989 Annual 41 Council: ... The commission having listened to the arguments and presentations for and against the 42 ordination of women; having sensed the needs and concerns of the world field; having carefully considered 43 what is probably best and the least disruptive for the world church at this time; and recognizing the 44 importance of our eschatological mission, the witness and image of our spiritual family, and the need for 45 oneness of and unity in the church, reports to the 1990 General Conference session upon the 46 recommendation of the 1989 Annual Council the following results of its deliberation: 1. While the 47 commission does not have a consensus as to whether or not the Scriptures and the writings of Ellen G 48 White explicitly advocate or deny the ordination of women to pastoral ministry, concludes unanimously

1 that these sources affirm a significant, wide-ranging, and continuing ministry for women, which is being 2 expressed and will be evidenced in the varied and expanding gifts according to the infilling of the Holy 3 4 Spirit. 2. Further, in view of the widespread lack of support for the ordination of women to the gospel ministry in the world church and in view of the possible risk of disunity, dissension, and diversion from the 5 6 7 mission of the church, we do not approve ordination of women to the gospel ministry." (The vote was 1,173 in favor, 377 opposed.)

8 <sup>4</sup> Fifty-Sixth General Conference Session, July 5, 1995—Excerpts from Session Bulletin #8, p. 30: 9 [Discussion was held on a General Conference Executive Committee action] "To refer to the 1995 General 10 Conference Session the North American Division request that the General Conference in Session adopt 11 provisions on ordination as outlined below: 'The General Conference vests in each division the right to 12 authorize the ordination of individuals within its territory in harmony with established policies. In addition, 13 where circumstances do not render it inadvisable, a division may authorize the ordination of qualified 14 individuals without regard to gender. In divisions where the division executive committee takes specific 15 actions approving the ordination of women to the gospel ministry, women may be ordained to serve in 16 those divisions.'...In favor of the recommendation: 673. In opposition to the recommendation: 1,481. Total 17 number of votes: 2,154. By this vote, the request of the North American Division was denied."